## DAYANAND COLLEGE OF COMMERCE, LATUR

Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Employer

Academic Year 2022-23

Parameters	e 3.13	Overall Grade					-	
ters    Excellent   Very Good   Good   Average   Average   Responses   Grade		-	0	ω	ω	1	2	0. Overall Rating
Parameters		10	0	1	ω	4	1	9. Innovativeness and Creativity
S   4   3   2   1   Total	3.78	10	0	1	4	ω	Н	vorkplace
Parameters				1				18. Usage of Curriculum for HR develonment at your
5         4         3         2         1           Excellent         VeryGood         Good         Average         Average         Responses         Grade           1         0         3         3         2         9         2.1           1         0         1         4         3         1         9         2.1           1         2         3         1         0         9         2.1           1         2         4         1         1         9         2.1           0         0         6         3         0         9         2.1           1         1         1         1         9         2.1           0         0         6         3         0         9         2.1           1         1         1         5         1         9         2           0         2         1         5         1         9         2           1         1         4         2         1         9         3         3           0         2         4         2         1         9         3         3	2.56	9	2	<u> </u>	ر ح	Ы	0	content to slow learners
S								17. Measures to additional understanding of difficult course
S	3.11	9	0	ω	2	4	0	16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education
S   A   3   2   1	3.89	9	12	1	4	ъ	2	Reference material/ Study material
Parameters								<ol> <li>Quality, Clarity &amp; relevance of textual reading /</li> </ol>
5         4         3         2         1           Excellent         Very Good         Good         Average         Below         Total           1         0         3         3         2         9         2           0         1         4         3         1         9         2           1         2         3         1         0         9         2           1         1         1         1         1         9         2           1         1         2         4         1         1         9         2           0         0         6         3         0         9         3           0         2         1         5         1         9         3           0         3         3         3         0         9         3           0         3         3         4         0         9         3           1         1         4         2         1         9         9           2         3         4         0         9         9         9           3         4         2	3.67	9	0	2	ω	З	Д	broadening perspectives)
S   4   3   2   1								knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and
meters         5         4         3         2         1         Total           real life situations&local         Excellent         Very Good         Good         Average         Average         Responses         Grade           velopment         1         0         1         4         3         1         9         2           al, field work component         1         1         3         3         1         9         2           al, field work component in the         0         2         1         3         3         1         9         2           ntent         0         1         4         4         1         9         3           ntent         0         1         4         4         0         9         2           ntent         0         2         1         4         4         0         9         3           ntent         0         2         3         3         4         0         9         3           ntent         0         2         3         4         0         9         9         3           nt workplace         1         1         4								
Parameters         5         4         3         2         1         Total         Parameters         Excellent         Very Good         Good         Average         Responses         Grade           Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local evelopmental needs         1         0         1         0         Average         Average         Responses         Grade           Weightage given to Employability         0         1         0         3         3         2         9         2           Weightage given to Entrepreneurship         2         3         3         1         0         9         2           Weightage given to Skill development         1         2         3         3         1         0         9         2           Weightage given to project         0         0         6         3         0         9         2           Weightage given to project         1         1         1         1         1         1         9         3           Weightage given to project         0         2         1         3         3         1         9         2           Weightage given to gradiate given to gradiate given to gradiate gradiate gradiate gradiate gradiate gradiate grad	3.44	9	0	2	5	ы	ב	13. Level of Course Outcomes
S   4   3   2   1	2.78	9	1	2	4	2	0	12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives
s         5         4         3         2         1         Helow Below Average         Total Responses         Grade           fe situations&local         1         0         3         4         3         2         1         Total 	3.22	9	1	2	4	1	1	11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace
s         Excellent         Very Good         Good         Average         Average         Responses         Grade           re situations&local         1         0         3         3         2         1         Hesponses         Grade           re situations&local         1         0         3         3         2         9         2           y         0         1         4         3         1         0         9         2           rship         1         2         3         3         1         0         9         2           ment         1         2         4         1         1         9         9         3           Id work component         1         1         3         3         1         9         9         3           advancements in the         0         3         3         3         0         9         9         9           advancements in the         0         3         3         0         9         9         9	2.78	9	0	4	ω	2	0	10. Optimization of course content
Parameters         5         4         3         2         1         Head         Total         Below         Total         Grade           picability/relevance to real life situations&local ppmental needs .         1         Very Good         Good         Average         Average         Responses         Grade           phtage given to Employability         0         1         4         3         1         9         2           ghtage given to Entrepreneurship         2         3         3         1         0         9         2           phtage given to Skill development         1         2         4         1         1         9         2           ghtage given to project         0         0         6         3         0         9         3           ghtage given to project         1         1         2         3         3         1         9         3           ghtage given to project         0         0         6         3         0         9         3         3         1         9         3           ghtage given to project         0         0         3         3         1         9         3         3         1         9 <td>3.00</td> <td>9</td> <td>0</td> <td>З</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td>0</td> <td>9. Difficulty level of course content</td>	3.00	9	0	З	3	3	0	9. Difficulty level of course content
5         4         3         2         1         Below         Total Total Total Total Total Average         Excellent Very Good         Good Average Average         Average Responses         Grade Grade           1         0         3         3         2         9         2           0         1         4         3         1         9         2           1         2         3         1         0         9         3           1         2         4         1         1         9         3           0         0         6         3         0         9         3           1         1         3         3         1         9         3           1         1         3         3         1         9         3           1         1         3         3         1         9         3         9           1         1         3         3         1         9         9         3           1         1         3         3         1         9         9         3         9         9         3         9         9         3         9         9	2.67	9	0	4	4	1	0	subject
S   4   3   2   1						r		8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the
5         4         3         2         1         Helow         Total Below         Total Responses         Grade           1         Very Good         Good         Average         Average         Responses         Grade           1         0         3         3         2         9         2           0         1         4         3         1         9         2           1         2         3         1         0         9         2           1         2         4         1         1         9         3           1         3         3         1         9         3	2.44	9	1	5	1	2	0	7. Depth of the course content
meters         Excellent         Very Good         Good         Average         Average         Responses         Grade           eal life situations&local         1         0         3         3         2         1         4         3         2         4         6         6         6         3         3         3         2         9         6         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7         7	2.78	9	1	ω	ω	1	ь	6. Weightage given to practical, field work component
S   4   3   2   1	2.67	9	0	ω	6	0	0	5. Weightage given to project
Parameters  Parameters  Excellent Very Good Good Average Average Responses Grade  Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local evelopmental needs .  Weightage given to Employability  Neightage given to Entrepreneurship  2 3 3 1 0 9  Mendal Parameters  Excellent Very Good Good Average Average Responses Grade  Average 3 3 3 3 2 9  Merightage given to Entrepreneurship  3 3 3 1 9  Merightage given to Entrepreneurship  3 3 1 0 9	3.11	9	1	1	4	2	ב	4.Weightage given to Skill development
S   4   3   2   1	4.33	9	0	1	З	သ	2	3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship
Parameters  Excellent Very Good Good Average Average Responses Grade  Ince to real life situations&local  1 0 3 3 3 2 9	2.56	9	1	3	4	1	0	2. Weightage given to Employability
Excellent Very Good Good Average Average Responses	2.78	9	2	ω	ω	0	1	<ol> <li>Applicability/relevance to real life situations&amp;local developmental needs.</li> </ol>
5 4 3 2 1	Grade	Responses	Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	raidilleters
		- A	1	2	з	4	v	

The number of feedback forms received is 09 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.13 out of 5.

Feedback committee

Principal Princi

Coordinator Co.

## DAYANAND COLLEGE OF COMMERCE, LATUR

## Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Alumni Students

Academic Year 2022-23

3.09	Overall Grade	10					
3.17	53	1	11	25	10	6	18. Overall rating
3.02	53	5	10 ·	22	11	5	learners
							17. Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow
3.42	53	2	8	19	14	10	16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education
3.17	53	Ь	12	21	15	4	material
		7					15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference material/Study
3.00	53	4	12	21	12	4	manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)
	5						14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts,
3.19	53	3	6	26	14	4	13. Level of Course Outcomes
3.17	53	0	12	24	13	4	12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives
3.02	53	4	14	18	11	6	11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace
3.21	53	3	8	24	11	7	10. Optimization of course content
3.32	53	ш	10	21	13	8	2. Difficulty level of course content
3.17	53	4	10	20	11	8	o. Difficulty incorporation of latest advancements in the subject
3.28	53	1	6	26	17	ω	8 Inclusion (incomparis to the course content
2.74	53	8	14	18	10	ω	7 Denth of the common and the formation of the common and the ferror of
3.09	53	4	11	21	10	7	Weightage given to project
3.13	53	ω	13	19	10	8	5 Weightons given to skill development
2.79	53	9	14	22	7	4	4 Weightage given to Elill 1 1
2.87	53	5	12	24	9	3	3. Weightage given to Entrement:
2.92	53	2	15	25	7	4	2. Weightage given to Employability Situations&local developmental needs
Grade	S	Average	Average	Good	Good	Excellent	1 Applicability/sal
	Response	Below			Very		rarameters
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	

The number of feedback forms received is 53 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.09 out of 5.

Feedback Committee

10ACCCOORdinator

Dayanand College of Commerce I/C. phincipal

Payanand College of Commerce Later Co-ordinator

## DAYANAND COLLEGE OF COMMERCE, LATUR Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Teachers Academic Year 2022-23

3.80	Overall Grade	Overa		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			0.000
4.02	46	0	ω	12	12	19	18. Overall rating
	46		5	13	12	15	learners
							17. Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow
4.00	46	0	2	12	16	16	16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education
	46	0	4	12	15	15	material
							15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference material/Study
3.85	46	0	2	15	17	12	manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)
							14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts,
3.78	46	0	ω	17	13	13	13. Level of Course Outcomes
3.85	46	0	ω	13	18	12	12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives
3.70	46	0	5	15	15	11	11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace
3.74	46	0	ω	17	15	11	10. Optimization of course content
	46		7	17	œ	13	9. Difficulty level of course content
-	46	0	4	16	11	15	8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject
3.87	46	_	4	7	22	12	7. Depth of the course content
3.83	46	0	5	13	13	15	6. Weightage given to practical, field work component
	46	0	5	13	11	17	5. Weightage given to project
3.89	46	0	4	13	13	16	4.Weightage given to Skill development
3.70	46		5	15	11	14	3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship
3.63	46	2	5	12	16	11	2. Weightage given to Employability
3.72	46	1	2	16	17	10	1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental needs
Grade	onses	е	Average	Good	Good	Excellent	
	Resp	Averag			Very		
	Total	Below		.,			Parameters
		_	2	c	4	OT.	
			,	,		1,	Academic real 202

The number of feedback forms received is 46 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.80 out of 5.



Co. OAC Coordinator Co. OAC Coordinator Cornal Quality Assurance Cell Janand College of Commerce, Latur

Legentral Commerce

3.20	Overall Grade	Overa			-	c	
3.37	514	31	63	199	126	95	18. Overall rating
3.17	514	51	95	169	114	85	learners
	12 T						17.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow
3.53	514	26	56	172	140	120	16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education
3.28	514	29	85	197	119	84	material
							15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference material/ Study
3.25	514	36	82	193	124	79	manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)
							14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts,
3.22	514	30	87	214	104	79	14 Weight of Course Outcomes
3.18	514	32	87	216	115	64	13 Long of Common Out realiting objectives
3.11	514	49	90	200	107	68	12 Enleiment of culticuluit at Workplace
3.10	514	38	93	226	94	63	11 Heafillages of Course Content
3.10	514	36	111	194	110	63	10 Ontimization of course content
3.24	514	44	83	182	116	89	9 Difficulty lavel of course content
3.28	514	37	77	189	126	85	8. Inclusion /incorneration of latest od
3.13	514	50	93	184	116	71	7. Denth of the course content
3.40	514	33	71	162	152	96	6. Weightage given to project
3.37	514	33	85	159	131	106	5. Weightage given to project
3.06	514	42	111	195	108	58	4. Weightage given to Skill development
2.81	514	64	136	194	74	46	3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship
3.02	514	38	105	229	92	50	1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental needs 2. Weightage given to Employability
Grade	ses (	е	Average	Good	G000	Excellent	
	Respon	Averag			Very	1	
	Total	Below					Parameters
		_	2	ဒ	4	5	
	-					2022-23	Academic Year 2022-23
	51 °		Students	Stu	from	culum :	reedback on curriculum from
		ע	LATUR		MINTACIT	VINIO	Analysis of Foodly
							DAYANAND COLLEGE OF COL

Feedback Committee

The number of feedback forms received is 514 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.20 out of 5.

Dayanand College of Commerce, Latur.

Principal

(C. Principal

Dayanand College of Cammerce

LATUR