DAYANAND COLLEGE OF COMMERCE, LATUR

Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Alumni Students

Academic Year 2021-22

e 3.38	Overall Grade	C					10. Oxciali fating
au.	120	4	10	42	40	24	18 Overall rating
	120	∞	.14	50	30	18	17.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow
2		1	1		1	U.F.	16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education
3.66	120	4	10	40	25	21	material
3.36	120	∞	16	43	31	22	15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference material/ Study
3.55	120	ω	11	44	41	21	14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)
3.42	120	7	12	43	40	18	13. Level of Course Outcomes
	120	8	9	55	29	19	12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives
3.31	120	7	20	40	35	18	11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace
3.19	120	6	25	49	20	20	10. Optimization of course content
3.18	120	7	21	48	31	13	9. Difficulty level of course content
3.31	120	8	16	46	31	19	8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject
3.63	120	з	10	45	33	29	7. Depth of the course content
3.26	120	8	16	51	27	18	6 Weightage given to project
3.58	120	5	9	42	39	25	5 Waightings given to project
3.65	120	2	16	32	42	28	4. Weight age given to Skill development
3.30	120	10	19	39	29	23	3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship
3.03	120	14	18	54	18	16	2. Weightage given to Employability
3.14	120	6	22	52	29	11	1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental needs
Grade	S	Average	Average	Good	Good	Excellent	
	Response	Below			Very		Parameters
	Total	1	2	3	4	5	

The number of feedback forms received is 120 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.38 out of 5.

Feedback Committee

Internal Quality Assurance Collygnand Covege of Commerce Dayanand College of Commerce, Latur.

LATIIR

DAYANAND COLLEGE OF COMMERCE, LATUR

Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Employer

Academic Year 2021-22

Parameters	0:5	Overall Grade						20. Overall Rating
Excellent Very Good Good Average Responses Grade		-		1	6	თ	2	. Inhovaliveness and cicativity
S 4 3 2 1		16	4		-	4	2	Innovativeness and Creativity
S 4 3 2 1		16	1	2	7	۵ .	,	workplace
Parameters		16	Ľ	4	თ	4	٦	18. Usage of Curriculum for HR development at your
S 4 3 2 1	ω i.	16	2	4	4	ъ	1	16. Creation of interest to pursue instruction of difficult course 17. Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to clow learners
S 4 3 2 1	1	16	12	2	4	6	ω	Reference material/ Study material Reference material/ Study material Reference material/ Study material
Parameters Excellent Very Good Good Average Average Responses Grade	4.0	16	1	2	4	6	ω	15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading /
S 4 3 2 1	3.7	16	1	2	v	6	2	14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and
S 4 3 2 1				c	α.	7	1	3 Level of Course Outcomes
S A 3 2 1	3.7	16	0	D	٦	u	1	7. Fulfilment of Learning objectives
S 4 3 2 1	3.4	16	0	ا د	2 0	U	2	11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace
S A 3 2 1	3.6	16	0	u	χ d	2 ~	_	10. Optimization of course content
Excellent Very Good Good Average Average Average Responses Grade 3 2 7 3 1 16 3 4 1 6 3 2 1 16 3 1 8 4 2 1 16 3 1 6 3 3 1 16 3 6 3 3 1 16 3 4 2 1 16 3 4 3 3 1 16 3 6 3 3 1 16 3 4 2 7 3 0 16 4 2 7 3 0 16 4 2 7 3 0 16 5 6 3 0 16 3	3.10	16	ω	w	מ מ	J U		9. Difficulty level of course content
Excellent Very Good Good Average Average Average Responses Grade 3 2 7 3 1 16 3 4 1 6 3 2 1 16 3 1 8 4 2 1 16 3 1 16 3 6 3 3 3 1 16 3 3 1 16 3 3 1 16 3 3 1 16 3 3 1 16 3 3 1 16 3 3 1 16 3 3 1 16 3 3 1 16 3 3 1 16 3 3 1 16 3 3 1 16 3 3 3 0 16 3 3 3 0 16 3 3 3 3 3 3 <td< td=""><td>3.1.</td><td>16</td><td>2</td><td>ע</td><td>л</td><td>1 (</td><td>_</td><td>subject</td></td<>	3.1.	16	2	ע	л	1 (_	subject
S 4 3 2 1 Below Total Below Total Responses Grade Excellent Very Good Good Average Average Responses Grade 3 2 7 3 1 16 16 4 1 6 3 2 1 16 16 1 8 4 2 1 16 16 16 1 6 3 3 1 16 16 16 16 6 3 3 3 1 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	3.32	16	0	ω	σ	л	J	8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the
Excellent Very Good Good Average Average Average Responses Grade 3 2 7 3 1 16 16 4 1 6 3 2 1 16 3 3 7 2 1 16 16 1 8 4 2 1 16 16 1 6 3 3 1 16 16				u	7	2	4	7. Depth of the course content
neters Excellent Very Good Good Average Average Responses Grade eal life situations&local 3 2 7 3 1 16 ability 4 1 6 3 2 1 16 reneurship 3 3 7 2 1 16 16 relopment 1 8 4 2 1 16 16 relopment 1 6 4 4 1 16 16	3.63 4.19	16	0 1-	ω	ω	ω	6	Weightage given to practical, field work component
S 4 3 2 1			F	4	4	6	1	5. Weightage given to project
S 4 3 2 1	3.31	16	4	2	4	∞	1	4. Weightage given to Skill development
Parameters Excellent Very Good Good Average Average Responses Grade Excellent Very Good Good Average Average Responses Grade Total Grade Average Responses Grade Average Responses Grade 5 7 3 1 16	3.38	16	- L	2	7	ω	ω	3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship
Parameters Excellent Very Good Good Average Average Responses Grade Ince to real life situations&local 3 2 7 3 1 16	3.88	16		w	6	1	4	Weightage given to Employability
Excellent Very Good Good Average Average Responses	3.75	16	12	ω	7	2	ω	1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental needs .
5 4 3 2 1	Grade	Responses	Average	Average	Good	Very Good		raidilletels
,	4	Total	1	2	ω	4	ъ	Desamotor

The number of feedback forms received is 16 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.55 out of 5. phocipal (מיבור בייניים) וחלפין איניים איניים

Dayanand College of Commoro Latin brainatourance Cell

LATUR

Feedback committee

DAYANAND COLLEGE OF COMMERCE, LATUR Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Students

Academic Year 2021-22

3.29	-	Overall Grade	0					
3.37	418	9	29	56	5 146	105	82	18. Overall rating
3.16	418		41	3 79	2 133	102	63	learners
					_			17. Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow
3.59	418		25	42	134	95	122	16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education
3.32			28	56	161	102	71	material
	_				_			15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study
3.35	418 3		28	61	148	100	81	manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)
								14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts,
3.27	418 3.	4	27	67	157	101	66	13. Level of Course Outcomes
3.30	T	4.	26	59	164	101	68	12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives
3 6		418	37	65	146	104	66	11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace
315		418	26	64	166	94	68	10. Optimization of course content
115	T	418	22	87	144	88	77	9. Difficulty level of course content
1 0	T	418	33	57	129	106	93	8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject
517	T	418	19	62	151	98	88	7. Depth of the course content
7 1+3	T	418	46	55	143	102	72	6. Weightage given to practical, field work component
<u>- ا</u>	T	410	33	45	126	118	96	5. Weightage given to project
-ا	T	410	67.	56	143	93	97	4.Weightage given to Skill development
	3.07	418	43	84	147	89	55	3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship
		418	48	99	143	76	52	2. Weightage given to Employability
		418	28	84	189	64	53	1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental needs
	Grade	ses	е	Average	Good	Good	Excellent	
		Respon	Averag			Very		Parameters
		7.1.	-	2	ω	4	5	
			-				11 10	

The number of feedback forms received is 501 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.29 out of 5.



Internal Quality Assurance Cell Dayanand College of Commerce, Latur.



Dayanand Cottage of Commerce

LATIND

17. Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow 18. Overall rating manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives) 15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference material/ Study Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts) Creation of interest to pursue higher education 9. Difficulty level of course content 8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject 6. Weightage given to practical, field work component 5. Weightage given to project 4.Weightage given to Skill development Level of Course Outcomes 2. Fulfilment of Learning objectives Optimization of course content 3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship 2. Weightage given to Employability Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental needs . Depth of the course content DAYANAND COLLEGE OF COMMERCE, LATUR Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Teachers **Parameters** Academic Year 2021-22 Excellent | Good | Good | Average 9 9 5 0 O 6 10 12 12 <u>_</u> 14 3 10 10 6 12 9 9 ω ω 9 4 0 0 9 ယ 6 **=** ဖ ω 9 ω 4 တ N Averag Below Overall Grade 0 0 0 0 Total Resp onses 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 Grade 3.69 3.73 3.69 3.81 3.62 3.85 3.31 3.73 3.62 3.42 3.65 3.85 3.85 4.12 3.81 4.00 3.81

The number of feedback forms received is 26 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.75 out of 5

Feedback Committee

Co-locality Assurance Cell Dayanand College of Commerce, Latur.



Dayanand Chillege of Commerce