


DAYANAND COLLEGE OF COMMERCE, LATUR
Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Alumni Students
Academic Year 2020-21

Parameters	5	4	3	2	1	Total Response s	Grade
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average		
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental needs	4	9	20	8	2	43	3.12
2. Weightage given to Employability	8	5	15	9	6	43	3.00
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	4	7	18	9	5	43	2.91
4.Weightage given to Skill development	14	17	7	1	4	43	3.84
5. Weightage given to project	8	14	12	5	4	43	3.40
6. Weightage given to practical, field work component	5	13	17	4	4	43	3.26
7. Depth of the course content	9	13	11	8	2	43	3.44
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	9	12	7	10	5	43	3.23
9. Difficulty level of course content	7	9	12	11	4	43	3.09
10. Optimization of course content	7	10	17	6	3	43	3.28
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	4	12	17	6	4	43	3.14
12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives	7	12	13	6	5	43	3.23
13. Level of Course Outcomes	9	9	14	6	5	43	3.26
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	12	11	12	5	3	43	3.56
15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference material/ Study material	11	7	18	4	3	43	3.44
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	13	11	11	5	3	43	3.60
17.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow learners	5	15	12	7	4	43	3.23
18. Overall rating	8	13	15	4	3	43	3.44
Overall Grade							3.30

The number of feedback forms received is 43 and overall feedback is ' Good' with average grade 3.30 out of 5.


Feedback Committee


IQAC Coordinator


Principal

DAYANAND COLLEGE OF COMMERCE, LATUR
Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Employer
Academic Year 2020-21

Parameters	5	4	3	2	1		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average	Total Responses	Grade
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental needs .	4	4	7	4	1	20	3.90
2. Weightage given to Employability	2	4	8	3	3	20	2.95
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	5	6	5	4	0	20	4.35
4.Weightage given to Skill development	7	5	6	2	0	20	3.85
5. Weightage given to project	7	5	7	1	0	20	4.95
6. Weightage given to practical, field work component	6	6	5	3	0	20	3.75
7. Depth of the course content	5	5	9	1	0	20	4.45
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	8	0	9	3	0	20	3.65
9. Difficulty level of course content	6	3	9	2	0	20	4.55
10. Optimization of course content	6	7	4	3	0	20	4.70
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	7	6	6	1	0	20	5.00
12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives	9	3	7	1	0	20	5.35
13. Level of Course Outcomes	8	4	6	2	0	20	5.10
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	7	6	6	1	0	20	5.00
15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference material/ Study material	7	5	7	1	0	20	4.95
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	11	4	3	2	0	20	5.85
17.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow learners	7	5	5	3	0	20	4.85

18. Usage of Curriculum for HR development at your workplace	7	5	6	2	0	20	4.90
19. Innovativeness and Creativity	7	7	5	1	0	20	5.05
20. Overall Rating	6	7	6	1	0	20	3.90
Overall Grade							4.55


The number of feedback forms received is 20 and overall feedback is ' Very Good' with average grade 4.55 out of 5.



Feedback committee



IQAC Coordinator



Principal

DAYANAND COLLEGE OF COMMERCE, LATUR

Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Students


Academic Year 2020-21

Parameters	5	4	3	2	1	Total Responses	Grade
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average		
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental needs	49	86	238	106	22	501	3.07
2. Weightage given to Employability	58	85	168	141	49	501	2.92
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	80	109	172	100	40	501	3.18
4.Weightage given to Skill development	100	137	166	66	32	501	3.41
5. Weightage given to project	99	137	164	75	26	501	3.42
6. Weightage given to practical, field work component	68	113	182	93	45	501	3.13
7. Depth of the course content	105	116	197	67	16	501	3.45
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	90	141	152	86	32	501	3.34
9. Difficulty level of course content	72	119	188	97	25	501	3.23
10. Optimization of course content	66	126	198	92	19	501	3.26
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	73	126	178	89	35	501	3.23
12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives	75	127	192	85	22	501	3.30
13. Level of Course Outcomes	81	138	186	71	25	501	3.36
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	94	126	186	69	26	501	3.39
15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference material/ Study material	75	133	188	79	26	501	3.30
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	132	154	143	50	22	501	3.65
17.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow learners	77	116	188	77	43	501	3.21
18. Overall rating	90	129	193	65	24	501	3.39
Overall Grade							3.29

The number of feedback forms received is 501 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.29 out of 5.


Feedback Committee


IQAC Coordinator


Principal

DAYANAND COLLEGE OF COMMERCE, LATUR

Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Teachers


Academic Year 2020-21

Parameters	5	4	3	2	1	Total Responses	Grade
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average		
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental needs	9	13	6	3	0	31	3.90
2. Weightage given to Employability	12	9	8	2	0	31	4.00
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	9	9	11	2	0	31	3.81
4.Weightage given to Skill development	9	15	7	0	0	31	4.06
5. Weightage given to project	11	11	7	2	0	31	4.00
6. Weightage given to practical, field work component	7	15	7	2	0	31	3.87
7. Depth of the course content	10	13	7	1	0	31	4.03
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	12	11	6	2	0	31	4.06
9. Difficulty level of course content	5	13	11	2	0	31	3.68
10. Optimization of course content	10	11	10	0	0	31	4.00
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	10	13	7	1	0	31	4.03
12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives	12	10	6	3	0	31	4.00
13. Level of Course Outcomes	8	17	4	2	0	31	4.00
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	10	16	5	0	0	31	4.16
15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference material/ Study material	10	13	7	1	0	31	4.03
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	11	14	5	1	0	31	4.13
17.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow learners	8	12	8	3	0	31	3.81
18. Overall rating	11	15	5	0	0	31	4.19
Overall Grade							3.99

The number of feedback forms received is 31 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.99 out of 5.


Feedback Committee


IQAC Coordinator


Principal