Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Alumni Students

Academic Year 2019-20

Academic real 20	5	ı	1				
Parameters		4	3	2	1		
						Total	
		Very			Below	Response	
		Good	Good	Average	Average	s	Grade
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental needs	28	43	43	16	0	130	3.64
2. Weightage given to Employability	20	24	52	30	4	130	3.20
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	35	36	31	27	1	130	3.59
4.Weightage given to Skill development	30	44	33	22	1	130	3.62
5. Weightage given to project	32	41	30	22	5	130	3.56
6. Weightage given to practical, field work component	16	40	45	17	12	130	3.24
7. Depth of the course content	34	45	31	16	4	130	3.68
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	20	50	43	15	2	130	3.55
9. Difficulty level of course content	17	52	43	16	2	130	3.51
10. Optimization of course content	18	42	43	26	1	130	3.38
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	26	52	37	12	3	130	3.66
12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives	28	44	45	13	0	130	3.67
13. Level of Course Outcomes	29	42	38	18	3	130	3.58
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts,							
manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	22	50	44	12	2	130	3.60
15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference material/ Study							
material	44	40	37	9	0	130	3.92
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	42	47	30	7	4	130	3.89
17.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow							
learners	28	40	40	15	7	130	3.52
18. Overall rating	42	46	31	10	1	130	3.91
		_			Ov	erall Grade	3.60

The number of feedback forms received is 130 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.60 out of 5.

Feedback Committee IQAC

2) duce

IQAC Coordinator

Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from parents

Academic Year 2019-20

	5	4	3	2	1		
Parameters					Below	Total	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Average	Responses	Grade
Does the curriculam contributes the national							
development?	14	16	18	2	0	50	4.68
2. Does the curriculam fosters global competencies among							
students?	11	19	14	5	1	50	3.68
3. Does the curriculam helps to inculcate a value system							
among students?	15	24	8	3	0	50	4.92
4. Does the curriculam promotes the use of ICT?	17	22	8	2	1	50	4.04
5. Does the curriculam promotes the skill development of							
students?	15	13	15	3	4	50	4.54
6. Does the curriculam gives the proper weightage to							
employbility/enterpreneurship?	11	14	16	7	2	50	3.50
7. Does the curriculam incorporates latest advancements in							
the subjects?	11	19	14	3	3	50	4.30
8.Does the curriculam fulfill learning objectives?	15	14	16	5	0	50	3.78
9. Does the curriculam consider the needs of slow learners							
and advanced learners?	13	10	18	5	4	50	4.24
10. Overall Rating	19	15	6	7	3	50	3.80
					C	verall Grade	4.15

The number of feedback forms received is 50 and overall feedback is 'Very Good' with average grade 4.15 out of 5.

Feedback committee

IQAC Coordinator

Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Students

Academic Year 2019-20

Academie Teal 2013 20									
		4	3	2	1				
Parameters					Below	Total			
raiailletei 5		Very			Averag	Respon			
	Excellent	Good	Good	Average	е	ses	Grade		
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental needs	37	63	66	39	35	240	3.12		
2. Weightage given to Employability	42	63	50	42	43	240	3.08		
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	42	66	56	40	36	240	3.16		
4.Weightage given to Skill development	75	59	36	35	35	240	3.43		
5. Weightage given to project	66	56	43	37	38	240	3.31		
6. Weightage given to practical, field work component	47	43	54	45	51	240	2.96		
7. Depth of the course content	63	64	45	31	37	240	3.35		
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	61	59	44	32	44	240	3.25		
9. Difficulty level of course content	40	59	60	49	32	240	3.11		
10. Optimization of course content	100	47	27	23	43	240	3.58		
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	48	61	57	42	32	240	3.21		
12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives	36	86	52	44	22	240	3.29		
13. Level of Course Outcomes	46	75	54	34	31	240	3.30		
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts,									
manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	49	63	61	32	35	240	3.25		
15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference material/ Study									
material	44	73	50	39	34	240	3.23		
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	75	58	42	29	36	240	3.45		
17. Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow									
learners	35	62	55	44	44	240	3.00		
18. Overall rating	45	88	42	32	33	240	3.33		
Overall Grade									

The number of feedback forms received is 240 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.24 out of 5.

Feedback Committee IQAC Coordinator

Sign

Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Teachers

Academic Year 2019-20

	5	4	3	2	1		
Parameters	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Averag e	Total Resp onses	Grade
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental needs	4	15	7	3	0	29	3.69
2. Weightage given to Employability	3	13	8	5	0	29	3.48
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	8	7	10	4	0	29	3.66
4.Weightage given to Skill development	4	13	10	1	1	29	3.62
5. Weightage given to project	7	9	11	0	2	29	3.66
6. Weightage given to practical, field work component	4	11	13	1	0	29	3.62
7. Depth of the course content	7	14	6	2	0	29	3.90
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	2	13	11	3	0	29	3.48
9. Difficulty level of course content	2	11	13	3	0	29	3.41
10. Optimization of course content	16	13	0	0	0	29	4.55
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	4	11	12	2	0	29	3.59
12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives	4	15	8	2	0	29	3.72
13. Level of Course Outcomes	4	14	10	0	1	29	3.69
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	1	19	7	1	1	29	3.62
15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference material/ Study							
material	4	17	6	0	2	29	3.72
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	7	15	5	1	1	29	3.90
17.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow							
learners	2	10	15	2	0	29	3.41
18. Overall rating	5	16	7	1	0	29	3.86
					Overall	Grade	3.70

The number of feedback forms received is 29 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.70 out of 5.

Feedback Committee IQAC Coordinator

sidure

Sign