Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from parents Academic Year 2013-14

	5	4	3	2	1		
Parameters					Below	Total	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Average	Responses	Grade
Does the curriculam contributes the national development?	2	3	5	0	0	10	4.30
2. Does the curriculam fosters global competencies among students?	1	3	3	3	0	10	3.20
3. Does the curriculam helps to inculcate a value system among							
students?	3	4	1	2	0	10	4.70
4. Does the curriculam promotes the use of ICT?	4	3	2	1	0	10	4.00
5.Does the curriculam promotes the skill development of students?	2	5	1	2	0	10	4.30
6. Does the curriculam gives the proper weightage to							
employbility/enterpreneurship?	1	4	2	2	1	10	3.20
7. Does the curriculam incorporates latest advancements in the							
subjects?	2	5	2	1	0	10	4.40
8.Does the curriculam fulfill learning objectives?	2	4	1	3	0	10	3.50
		4	1	3	0	10	3.30
9. Does the curriculam consider the needs of slow learners and advanced learners?	3	4	2	1		10	4.80
auvanceu learners?	3	4	2	1	0	10	4.80
10. Overall Rating	3	2	2	2	1	10	3.40
						Overall Grade	3.98

The number of feedback forms received is 10 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.98 out of 5.

Feedback committee

Jal: Ha

Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Alumni Students Academic Year 2013-14

	5	4	3	2	1		
Parameters		Very			Below	Total	
	Excellent	Good	Good	Average	Average	Responses	Grade
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental							
needs	3	7	1	0	0	11	4.18
2. Weightage given to Employability	1	8	2	0	0	11	3.91
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	4	6	1	0	0	11	4.27
4.Weightage given to Skill development	3	7	1	0	0	11	4.18
5. Weightage given to project	4	6	1	0	0	11	4.27
6. Weightage given to practical, field work component	4	5	2	0	0	11	4.18
7. Depth of the course content	7	3	1	0	0	11	4.55
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	3	7	1	0	0	11	4.18
9. Difficulty level of course content	3	6	2	0	0	11	4.09
10. Optimization of course content	1	7	2	1	0	11	3.73
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	4	6	1	0	0	11	4.27
12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives	4	5	2	0	0	11	4.18
13. Level of Course Outcomes	4	7	0	0	0	11	4.36
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	6	3	2	0	0	11	4.36
15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference							
material/ Study material	8	2	1	0	0	11	4.64
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	6	4	1	0	0	11	4.45
17.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content							
to slow learners	3	6	2	0	0	11	4.09
18. Overall rating	8	1	2	0	0	11	4.55
						Overall Grade	4.25

The number of feedback forms received is 11 and overall feedback is 'Very Good' with average grade 4.24 out of 5.

Feedback Committee

some.

Jal-140

Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Students

Academic Year 2013-14

	5	4	3	2	1		
Parameters		Very			Below	Total	
	Excellent	Good	Good	Average	Average	Responses	Grade
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental							
needs	2	6	4	7	0	19	3.16
2. Weightage given to Employability	2	5	8	4	0	19	3.26
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	3	7	6	3	0	19	3.53
4.Weightage given to Skill development	5	6	6	2	0	19	3.74
5. Weightage given to project	6	5	3	4	1	19	3.58
6. Weightage given to practical, field work component	1	9	5	2	2	19	3.26
7. Depth of the course content	4	8	3	4	0	19	3.63
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	7	3	7	2	0	19	3.79
9. Difficulty level of course content	2	6	5	5	1	19	3.16
10. Optimization of course content	5	4	6	4	0	19	3.53
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	3	8	2	4	2	19	3.32
12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives	5	7	4	3	0	19	3.74
13. Level of Course Outcomes	5	6	6	2	0	19	3.74
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge,							
concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening							
perspectives)	1	9	4	3	2	19	3.21
15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference							
material/ Study material	7	6	3	2	1	19	3.84
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	7	6	1	5	0	19	3.79
17.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content							
to slow learners	6	6	4	3	0	19	3.79
18. Overall rating	5	6	5	3	0	19	3.68
						Overall Grade	3.54

The number of feedback forms received is 19 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.54out of 5.

Feedback Committee

gal: Ha

Analysis of Feedback on curriculum from Teachers

Academic Year 2013-14

	5	4	3	2	1		
Parameters		Very			Below	Total	
	Excellent	Good	Good	Average	Average	Responses	Grade
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations&local developmental							
needs	8	12	4	1	0	25	4.08
2. Weightage given to Employability	6	9	10	0	0	25	3.84
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	7	6	8	4	0	25	3.64
4.Weightage given to Skill development	5	12	4	2	2	25	3.64
5. Weightage given to project	7	8	7	2	1	25	3.72
6. Weightage given to practical, field work component	6	8	10	1	0	25	3.76
7. Depth of the course content	5	8	12	0	0	25	3.72
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	6	12	5	1	1	25	3.84
9. Difficulty level of course content	4	13	7	1	0	25	3.8
10. Optimization of course content	5	11	7	2	0	25	3.76
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	6	10	6	2	1	25	3.72
12. Fulfilment of Learning objectives	5	13	4	2	1	25	3.76
13. Level of Course Outcomes	6	11	6	1	1	25	3.8
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge,							
concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening							
perspectives)	7	11	5	1	1	25	3.88
15. Quality, Clarity & relevance of textual reading / Reference							
material/ Study material	8	10	6	1	0	25	4
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	9	9	5	2	0	25	4
17. Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content							
to slow learners	6	12	3	3	1	25	3.76
18. Overall rating	5	14	5	1	0	25	3.92
			-			Overall Grade	3.8133

The number of feedback forms received is 25 and overall feedback is 'Good' with average grade 3.81 out of 5.

Feedback Committee

Malita